

ON SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION OF COALGEBRAS AND HOPF ALGEBROIDS

JANEZ MRČUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a duality between étale Lie groupoids and a class of non-necessarily commutative algebras with a Hopf algebroid structure. For any étale Lie groupoid G over a manifold M , the groupoid algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of smooth functions with compact support on G has a natural coalgebra structure over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which makes it into a Hopf algebroid. Conversely, for any Hopf algebroid A over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ we construct the associated spectral étale Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ over M such that $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$ is naturally isomorphic to G . Both these constructions are functorial, and $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is fully faithful left adjoint to $\mathcal{G}_{sp}$. We give explicit conditions under which a Hopf algebroid is isomorphic to the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of an étale Lie groupoid G . We also demonstrate that an analogous duality exists between sheaves on M and a class of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.

INTRODUCTION

Étale Lie groupoids have been successfully used in foliation theory as suitable geometric models for the transversal structure of foliations. Many of the important invariants of a foliation reflect in the structure of the associated holonomy groupoid, which turns into an étale Lie groupoid when restricted to a complete transversal (see e.g. [6, 7, 8]). Étale Lie groupoids are also used to represent other geometric objects such as orbifolds and actions of discrete groups. All these geometric objects can then be studied from the point of view of non-commutative geometry, by considering the groupoid algebra of the associated étale Lie groupoid, which is the convolution algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of smooth functions with compact support on the étale Lie groupoid G introduced by Connes [2, 3, 4] (see also [1, 14]).

The aim of this paper is to characterize the algebras which are isomorphic to groupoid algebras of étale Lie groupoids, and to reconstruct the étale Lie groupoid out of its groupoid algebra. We achieve this in terms of the coalgebra structure on the groupoid algebra induced by the diagonal map of the groupoid. If G is an étale Lie groupoid over a manifold M , then the coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is over the commutative algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, and not over the base field. The counit is induced by the target map, and there is the antipode on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ given by the inverse map of G . Such a structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is known in the literature as Hopf algebroid (of quantum groupoid) over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ (see [9, 10, 15, 16]), and generalizes the well known Hopf algebra structure on the group algebra associated to a discrete group. The existence of the Hopf algebroid structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ was established in [12], but only in the case where the étale Lie groupoid G is Hausdorff. In this paper we extend this definition to non-Hausdorff groupoids, for which it is essential to take the appropriate definition of a smooth function with compact support on a non-Hausdorff manifold, as given in [5]. It is important to consider the non-Hausdorff groupoids as well, for instance because the holonomy groupoid of a foliation is often non-Hausdorff.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: 16W30, 22A22.
This work was supported in part by MŠZŠ grant J1-3148.

In the first two sections we concentrate on the coalgebra structure of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. We show that for any sheaf E on a manifold M , the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ has a natural coalgebra structure over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which is functorial in E . In Section 2 we then study the structure of an arbitrary coalgebra C over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, and show that for any $x \in M$ there exists a natural quotient coalgebra

$$C_x = C/N_x(C)$$

over the algebra of germs $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ of smooth functions at x . The kernel $N_x(C)$ of $C \rightarrow C_x$ consists of elements $c \in C$ for which there is a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which equals 1 on a neighbourhood of x and satisfies $fc = 0$. The grouplike elements $G(C_x)$ of C_x are precisely the equivalence classes of weakly grouplike elements of C normalized at x , i.e. of those elements $c \in C$ for which $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c'$ for some $c' \in C$ and $\epsilon(c)$ equals 1 on a neighbourhood of x . We then define the spectral sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$$

associated to C to be the sheaf on M with the stalk $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)_x = G(C_x)$. We show that this association is also functorial, and that the functor $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ from the category of sheaves on M to the category of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is fully faithful left adjoint to $\mathcal{E}_{sp}$. In particular, for any sheaf E on M we reconstruct E out of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ as the spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$. We prove that a coalgebra C over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is isomorphic to a sheaf coalgebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ of a sheaf E on M if and only if C_x is a free module generated by $G(C_x)$, for any $x \in M$. We also give a characterization of the coalgebras isomorphic to sheaf coalgebras in terms of their weakly grouplike elements.

In Section 3 we show that for any (non-necessarily Hausdorff) étale Lie groupoid G over M , the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of smooth functions with compact support on G is an algebra with respect to the convolution product, and that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$. Since the target map $t : G \rightarrow M$ of G is a sheaf on M , there is also a natural coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. The inverse map of G induces an antipode S on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$. With this structure, $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.

An arbitrary Hopf algebroid A over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is in particular a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, so we have the spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$ on M associated to A . In Section 4 we construct a subsheaf $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$. The stalk $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y$ of $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ at any $y \in M$ is the subset of $G(A_y)$ of those grouplike elements of A_y which are equivalence classes of S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A , i.e. elements a of A for which $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$ for some $a' \in A$. We then show that $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ has a natural structure of an étale Lie groupoid over M , with the sheaf projection $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \rightarrow M$ as the target map. The constructed étale Lie groupoid

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$$

over M is referred to as spectral étale groupoid associated to A . The functor $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ from the category of étale Lie groupoids over M to the category of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is fully faithful left adjoint to $\mathcal{G}_{sp}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$ is naturally isomorphic to G , for any étale Lie groupoid G . We show that a Hopf algebroid A over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is isomorphic to a groupoid Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of an étale Lie groupoid G over M if and only if A_y is a free module generated by $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y$, for any $y \in M$. We also express this condition in terms of the S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A .

Throughout this paper, all manifolds and maps between them are assumed to be smooth, i.e. of the class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. However, all the results and arguments hold true if one replaces this by any class of differentiability $\mathcal{C}^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In this paper, we do not consider the generalized maps between sheaves and étale Lie groupoids

over different bases, and associated Morita theory. In some extent we have done this in [13] for Hausdorff étale Lie groupoids only, however non-Hausdorff étale Lie groupoids can be treated along the same lines as well.

1. SHEAF COALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to show that the space of smooth functions with compact support, defined on the total space of a sheaf on a Hausdorff manifold M , has a natural coalgebra structure over the ring $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions with compact support on M . First, let us briefly recall the notion of a coalgebra over a ring, to fix the notations (for details, see e.g. [11]).

Note that the ring $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ has the identity only if M is compact, but it always has local identities. Recall that a commutative ring R has *local identities* if for any $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$ there exists $r \in R$ such that $rr_i = r_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. More generally, we say that a (left) R -module C is *locally unitary* if for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in C$ there exists $r \in R$ such that $rc_i = c_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Let R be a ring with local identities and let C be a locally unitary (left) R -module. A *coalgebra structure* on C over R consists of two R -linear maps, the *comultiplication*

$$\Delta : C \longrightarrow C \otimes_R C$$

and the *counit*

$$\epsilon : C \longrightarrow R,$$

which satisfy $(id \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = id$ and $(\epsilon \otimes id) \circ \Delta = id$. Here we identified the modules C , $R \otimes_R C$ and $C \otimes_R R$ in the natural way, which is possible because C is locally unitary. An R -coalgebra (or a coalgebra over R) is a locally unitary R -module C equipped with a coalgebra structure (Δ, ϵ) over R . We say that an R -coalgebra $C = (C, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is *coassociative* if $(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$. We say that C is *cocommutative* if $\sigma \circ \Delta = \Delta$, where $\sigma : C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C \otimes_R C$ is the flip between the factors, i.e. $\sigma(c \otimes c') = c' \otimes c$. If D is another R -coalgebra, then a map $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ is a homomorphism of R -coalgebras if α is R -linear, $(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon \circ \alpha$. Denote by

$$\text{CoAlg}(R)$$

the category of R -coalgebras and homomorphisms between them.

Let R be a commutative ring with local identities and let C be a coalgebra over R . We say that an element $c \in C$ is *weakly grouplike* if $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c'$ for some $c' \in C$. Denote by

$$G_w(C)$$

the set of all weakly grouplike elements of C . If R has an identity, the set of *grouplike elements* of C is defined (c.f. [11]) as

$$G(C) = \{c \in C \mid \Delta(c) = c \otimes c, \epsilon(c) = 1\}.$$

Note that for a coalgebra C over a field $\mathbb{F}$, the weakly grouplike elements of C are exactly all the products rc of any grouplike element $c \in C$ with any $r \in \mathbb{F}$.

Remark. Note that if C is generated by $G_w(C)$ as an R -module, then C is co-commutative and coassociative. Indeed, if $c \in G_w(C)$ with $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c'$, then $c = \epsilon(c)c' = \epsilon(c')c$, $\Delta(c) = \epsilon(c)c' \otimes c' = c' \otimes c$ and $(id \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(c)) = \epsilon(c)c' \otimes c' \otimes c' = (\Delta \otimes id)(\Delta(c))$. Since $G_w(C)$ is clearly closed under the R -action, it follows that C is generated by $G_w(C)$ as an R -module if and only if it is generated by $G_w(C)$ as an abelian group.

It is well known than grouplike elements in a coalgebra over a field are automatically linearly independent, but this is unfortunately no longer true if we are working over a commutative ring with divisors of zero (and identity). We give here

sufficient conditions under which this is true, and an example which illustrates that the conditions are necessary. We will use this result in the following sections.

Proposition 1.1. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity without nilpotents of order 2. Let C be a coalgebra over R , and let L be a subset of $G(C)$. If C is a flat R -module and if any two grouplike elements in L are R -linearly independent, then L is R -linearly independent.*

Proof. We have to show that if

$$r_1 c_1 + \dots + r_k c_k = 0$$

for some grouplike elements $c_1, \dots, c_k \in L$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$, then $r_i = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. We prove this by induction on k . If $k = 1$ we get $r_1 = 0$ because $\epsilon(c_1) = 1$, while for $k = 2$ the statement holds by assumption. So let $k \geq 3$, and take any $1 \leq l \leq k$. By the induction hypothesis we know that $c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_k$ are R -linearly independent. The flatness of C ensures that

$$\{c_i \otimes c_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k, i \neq l, j \neq l\}$$

is R -linearly independent in $C \otimes_R C$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= r_l \Delta\left(\sum_i r_i c_i\right) = r_l \sum_i r_i c_i \otimes c_i \\ &= \sum_{i \neq l} r_l r_i c_i \otimes c_i + r_l c_l \otimes r_l c_l \\ &= \sum_{i \neq l} r_l r_i c_i \otimes c_i + \left(-\sum_{i \neq l} r_i c_i\right) \otimes \left(-\sum_{j \neq l} r_j c_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \neq l} r_l r_i c_i \otimes c_i + \sum_{i \neq l, j \neq l} r_i r_j c_i \otimes c_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $i \neq l$ we have $r_l r_i + r_i r_i = 0$, and furthermore $r_i r_j = 0$ for any $j \neq l$, $j \neq i$. Since this is true for any $1 \leq l \leq k$, we can conclude that for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $r_i r_i = 0$ and thus $r_i = 0$. $\square$

Example 1.2. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and with divisors of zero. Choose non-zero $p, q \in R$ with $pq = 0$. Let C be the free R -module on two generators e_1 and e_2 , and define an R -coalgebra structure on C by

$$\Delta(e_1) = e_1 \otimes e_1, \quad \epsilon(e_1) = 1,$$

$$\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 + p e_2 \otimes e_2, \quad \epsilon(e_2) = 0.$$

One can easily check that this is indeed a coassociative cocommutative coalgebra structure on C . One grouplike element of C is clearly e_1 , while another is

$$c = e_1 + p e_2.$$

But these two are not R -linearly independent because $q e_1 = q c$.

We shall now describe the coalgebra of smooth functions on a sheaf on a Hausdorff manifold. For this to be correct, one should be careful with the notion of a smooth function with compact support on a non-Hausdorff manifold. It turns out that the definition given in [5], which we recall below, is the most suitable for our purpose.

Let E be a non-necessarily Hausdorff manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_E^\infty$ the sheaf of germs of smooth functions on E with values in $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. The stalk $(\mathcal{C}_E^\infty)_y$ of this sheaf at any point $y \in E$ is a commutative algebra with identity. If U is a Hausdorff

open subset of E then the continuous sections of $\mathcal{C}_E^\infty$ with compact support in U are just the smooth functions with compact support in U ,

$$\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) .$$

The extension of such a section by zero to all of E may not be continuous if E is not Hausdorff. Therefore, extension by zero gives a monomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty) ,$$

where $\Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$ denotes the space of all non-necessarily continuous global sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_E^\infty$. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ we shall denote the corresponding extension by zero of f again by $f \in \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$, or by $f|_U^E$ if necessary. For any section $u \in \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$ and any $y \in E$, denote by

$$u_y \in (\mathcal{C}_E^\infty)_y$$

the value of this section at y , and by

$$u(y) = u_y(y) \in \mathbb{F}$$

the value of the germ u_y at y .

The space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ of *smooth functions with compact support* on E is the image of the map $\bigoplus_U \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) \rightarrow \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$, where U ranges over all Hausdorff open subsets of E ,

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) = \text{Im}(\bigoplus_U \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)) .$$

One can easily see, by using partitions of unity, that the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$$

is surjective for any Hausdorff open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of E . In particular, this definition agrees with the classical one if E is Hausdorff. For any $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ define the *support of u* by

$$\text{supp}(u) = \{y \in E \mid u_y \neq 0\} .$$

Observe that if $\text{supp}(u) \subset U$ for some Hausdorff open subset U of E , then $u = f|_U^E$ for a unique $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$. In this case, the support of u equals the support of f in U defined in the classical sense. Note, however, that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ is closed for the pointwise multiplication only if E is Hausdorff.

Let F be another non-necessarily Hausdorff manifold, and let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be a smooth map. Then for any $y \in E$ the composition with ϕ induces a homomorphism of commutative algebras

$$\phi_y^* : (\mathcal{C}_F^\infty)_{\phi(y)} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}_E^\infty)_y .$$

Suppose that $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ is a local diffeomorphism. Then ϕ_y^* is an isomorphism, and we denote its inverse by

$$\phi_{*y} = (\phi_y^*)^{-1} .$$

An open subset U of E will be called *ϕ -elementary* if $\phi|_U$ is injective. Note that Hausdorff ϕ -elementary subsets of E form a basis of E . We can define a linear map

$$\phi_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$$

by

$$\phi_+(u)_z = \sum_{y \in \phi^{-1}(z)} \phi_{*y}(u_y) .$$

One can easily see that $\phi_+(u)$ is a smooth function with compact support on F . Indeed, one can assume without loss of generality that the support of u lies in a ϕ -elementary Hausdorff subset U of E . Then $V = \phi(U)$ is an open Hausdorff subset of F , $\phi|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism and $\phi_+(u) = u \circ (\phi|_U)^{-1}$. In this way, we

extended $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ to a functor defined on local diffeomorphisms between non-necessarily Hausdorff smooth manifolds.

Let M be a Hausdorff smooth manifold. Recall that a *sheaf* (of sets) on M is a local diffeomorphism

$$\pi : E \longrightarrow M ,$$

where E is a non-necessarily Hausdorff manifold, called the *total space* of the sheaf. The sheaf $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is often denoted simply by E , while π is referred to as the *projection* of the sheaf E . The *stalk* of E at $x \in M$ is the fiber $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$. A morphism from a sheaf $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ to another sheaf $\xi : F \rightarrow M$ over M is a smooth map $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ over M , i.e. a map which satisfies $\pi = \xi \circ \phi$. Any such map is necessarily a local diffeomorphism. The category of sheaves on M and morphisms between them is denoted by

$$\text{Sh}(M) .$$

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ a sheaf on M . First note that any π -elementary subset U of E is Hausdorff. If $U \subset E$ is π -elementary and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$, we have

$$f \circ \pi|_U = (f \circ \pi|_U)|_U^E \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) .$$

Any element of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ can be written as a sum of elements of this form. There is a natural (left) $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -module structure on $\Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$, given for any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and $u \in \Gamma_\delta(E, \mathcal{C}_E^\infty)$ by

$$(pu)_y = \pi_y^*(p_{\pi(y)})u_y ,$$

which restricts to a $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$. In fact, we have

$$p(f \circ \pi|_U) = pf \circ \pi|_U .$$

If $\xi : F \rightarrow M$ is another sheaf on M and $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism of sheaves over M , then $\phi_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -modules. If $U \subset E$ is π -elementary, then $\phi(U)$ is a ξ -elementary subset of F and

$$\phi_+(f \circ \pi|_U) = f \circ \xi|_{\phi(U)}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U)) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\xi(\phi(U)))$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ can be regarded as a functor from the category of sheaves on M to the category of R -modules over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.

To define a coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ we essentially need the following fact:

Proposition 1.3. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and $\xi : F \rightarrow M$ be two sheaves on M . Denote by $E \times_M F$ the fibered product of E and F , as in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_M F & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & F \\ pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \end{array}$$

Then $\pi \circ pr_1 = \xi \circ pr_2 : E \times_M F \rightarrow M$ is a sheaf on M , and there is a natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$\Omega_{\pi, \xi} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E \times_M F)$$

given by

$$\Omega_{\pi, \xi}(u \otimes v)_{(y, z)} = (pr_1)_{(y, z)}^*(u_y)(pr_2)_{(y, z)}^*(v_z) ,$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$, $v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$ and $(y, z) \in E \times_M F$. If $U \subset E$ is π -elementary and $V \subset F$ is ξ -elementary, then $U \times_M V$ is a $(\pi \circ pr_1)$ -elementary subset of $E \times_M F$ and

$$\Omega_{\pi, \xi}(f \circ \pi|_U \otimes p \circ \xi|_V) = fp \circ (\pi \circ pr_1)|_{U \times_M V}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\xi(V))$.

Proof. First, the maps pr_1 and pr_2 are local diffeomorphisms because they are pull backs of local diffeomorphisms. Therefore $\omega = \pi \circ pr_1 = \xi \circ pr_2$ is a local diffeomorphism as well. It is clear that $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}(u \otimes v)$ is section in $\Gamma_\delta(E \times_M F, \mathcal{C}_{E \times_M F}^\infty)$. The equation

$$\Omega_{\pi,\xi}(f \circ \pi|_U \otimes p \circ \xi|_V) = fp \circ \omega|_{U \times_M V}$$

follows directly from the definition, and implies that $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}(u \otimes v)$ is indeed a smooth function with compact support on $E \times_M F$. One can also easily check that $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$ is well defined on the tensor product over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -linear.

To show that $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$ is surjective it is sufficient to prove that $f \circ \omega|_W$ is in the image of $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$ for any ω -elementary subset W of $E \times_M F$ and any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega(W))$. To this end, note that $pr_1(W)$ is π -elementary, $pr_2(W)$ is ξ -elementary and $W = pr_1(W) \times_M pr_2(W)$. Choose any function $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega(W))$ with $fp = f$. Now we have

$$f \circ \omega|_W = \Omega_{\pi,\xi}(f \circ \pi|_{pr_1(W)} \otimes p \circ \xi|_{pr_2(W)}) .$$

Next, we have to show that $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$ is injective. Take any element in the kernel of $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$ and write it in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} ,$$

for some π -elementary subsets U_i of E , ξ -elementary subsets V_i of F , $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U_i))$ and $p_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\xi(V_i))$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. We assume that k is the smallest number for which this can be done. We shall now show that the assumption $k > 0$ leads to a contradiction, and this will conclude our proof.

First note that we can assume without loss of generality that $\pi(U_i) = \xi(V_i)$ and that $f_i p_i = f_i$, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. Indeed, we can choose functions $\eta_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U_i) \cap \xi(V_i))$ and $\theta_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\xi(V_i))$ such that $\eta_i(f_i p_i) = f_i p_i$ and $p_i \theta_i = p_i$. Put $U'_i = (\pi|_{U_i})^{-1}(\xi(V_i))$ and $V'_i = (\xi|_{V_i})^{-1}(\pi(U_i))$. Then $p_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U'_i} \otimes \eta_i \theta_i \circ \xi|_{V'_i}$ satisfy the required condition and equals

$$\begin{aligned} p_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U'_i} \otimes \eta_i \theta_i \circ \xi|_{V'_i} &= \eta_i p_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U'_i} \otimes \theta_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= p_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U'_i} \otimes \theta_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \theta_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} . \end{aligned}$$

Put $W_i = U_i \times_M V_i$, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. By our assumption we have $\pi(U_i) = \xi(V_i) = \omega(W_i)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i}$ is in the kernel of $\Omega_{\pi,\xi}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i f_i \circ \omega|_{W_i} = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \omega|_{W_i} = 0 .$$

Now let $X_i = W_i \cap W_k$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. We claim that

$$\text{supp}(f_k \circ \omega|_{W_k}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i \subset W_k .$$

Indeed, if $(y, z) \in \text{supp}(f_k \circ \omega|_{W_k})$ then there exists $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ with $(y, z) \in \text{supp}(f_i \circ \omega|_{W_i}) \subset W_i$ because $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \omega|_{W_i} = 0$. Therefore $f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega(X_i))$, hence we can choose $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \omega(X_i))$ with $\rho f_k = f_k$. Furthermore, we can write $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \rho_i$ for some $\rho_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega(X_i))$, and we can choose $\nu_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\omega(W_i))$

such that $\nu_i f_i = f_i$ and $\nu_i \rho_i = \rho_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Now we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} &= \nu_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= p_i f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i f_k \circ \pi|_{U_k} \otimes p_k \circ \xi|_{V_k} &= f_k \circ \pi|_{U_k} \otimes \nu_i \rho_i p_k \circ \xi|_{V_k} \\ &= f_k \circ \pi|_{U_k} \otimes \nu_i \rho_i p_k \circ \xi|_{pr_2(X_i)} \\ &= \rho_i p_k f_k \circ \pi|_{U_k} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= \rho_i p_k f_k \circ \pi|_{pr_1(X_i)} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= \rho_i f_k \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes p_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \rho_i f_k \circ \pi|_{U_k} \otimes p_k \circ \xi|_{V_k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \rho_i f_k \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (f_i + \rho_i f_k) \circ \pi|_{U_i} \otimes \nu_i \circ \xi|_{V_i} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we reduced the number of summands for one, which contradicts our assumption.

Finally, we will check that $\Omega_{\pi, \xi}$ is natural in π and ξ . Suppose that $\pi' : E' \rightarrow M$ and $\xi' : F' \rightarrow M$ are another two sheaves on M and that $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow F'$ are morphisms of sheaves over M . If $U \subset E$ is π -elementary and $V \subset F$ is ξ -elementary, then $\phi(U) \subset E'$ is π' -elementary and $\psi(V) \subset F'$ is ξ' -elementary. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\xi(V))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \times \psi)_+ (\Omega_{\pi, \xi}(f \circ \pi|_U \otimes p \circ \xi|_V)) &= (\phi \times \psi)_+ (fp \circ (\pi \circ pr_1)|_{U \times_M V}) \\ &= fp \circ (\pi' \circ pr_1)|_{\phi(U) \times_M \psi(V)} \\ &= \Omega_{\pi', \xi'}(f \circ \pi'|_{\phi(U)} \otimes p \circ \xi'|_{\psi(V)}) \\ &= \Omega_{\pi', \xi'}((\phi_+ \otimes \psi_+)(f \circ \pi|_U \otimes p \circ \xi|_V)) , \end{aligned}$$

hence $(\phi \times \psi)_+ \circ \Omega_{\pi, \xi} = \Omega_{\pi', \xi'} \circ (\phi_+ \otimes \psi_+)$. $\square$

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a sheaf on M . We define a coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ as follows: the comultiplication Δ is given by the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \xrightarrow{\text{diag}_+} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E \times_M E) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{\pi, \pi}^{-1}} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) ,$$

where $\text{diag} : E \rightarrow E \times_M E$ is the diagonal map defined with $\text{diag}(y) = (y, y)$, while the counit is

$$\epsilon = \pi_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M) .$$

If U is any π -elementary subset of E and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$, we have

$$\Delta(f \circ \pi|_U) = (f \circ \pi|_U) \otimes (\eta \circ \pi|_U) = (\eta \circ \pi|_U) \otimes (f \circ \pi|_U) ,$$

where η is any element of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ with $f\eta = f$. Furthermore,

$$\epsilon(f \circ \pi|_U) = f.$$

One can now easily check that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) = (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E), \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a cocommutative coassociative coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.

Suppose that $\xi : F \rightarrow M$ is another sheaf on M , and let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be morphism of sheaves on M . Then $\phi_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -coalgebras. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \phi_+ &= \Omega_{\xi, \xi}^{-1} \circ \text{diag}_+ \circ \phi_+ = \Omega_{\xi, \xi}^{-1} \circ (\phi \times \phi)_+ \circ \text{diag}_+ \\ &= (\phi_+ \otimes \phi_+) \circ \Omega_{\pi, \pi}^{-1} \circ \text{diag}_+ = (\phi_+ \otimes \phi_+) \circ \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\epsilon \circ \phi_+ = \xi_+ \circ \phi_+ = (\xi \circ \phi)_+ = \pi_+ = \epsilon.$$

Therefore we may conclude that we have a functor

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty : \text{Sh}(M) \longrightarrow \text{CoAlg}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)).$$

The next section will show that this functor is fully faithful and has a right adjoint.

We can explicitly describe weakly grouplike elements in a sheaf coalgebra as follows:

Proposition 1.4. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a sheaf on M . An element $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ is weakly grouplike if and only if $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ for some π -elementary subset U of E .*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ be weakly grouplike, with $\Delta(u) = u \otimes u'$ for some $u' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$. This equality implies that for any $(y, z) \in E \times_M E$, $y \neq z$, we have

$$(pr_1)_{(y,z)}^*(u_y)(pr_2)_{(y,z)}^*(u'_z) = 0$$

and

$$(pr_1)_{(y,y)}^*(u_y)(pr_2)_{(y,y)}^*(u'_y) = (pr_1)_{(y,y)}^*(u_y).$$

So if $u_y \neq 0$ then $u'(z) = 0$ and $u'(y) = 1$, hence $u_z = 0$. In particular, the map π is injective on $\text{supp}(u)$.

This yields that for any $y \in \text{supp}(u)$ we can choose a π -elementary subset V of E with $y \in V$ such that $V \cap \text{supp}(u) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V)) \cap \text{supp}(u)$. Indeed, write $u = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{U_i}$ for some π -elementary $U_i \subset E$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U_i))$. Let $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$ be all elements of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(y)) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$, with $y_0 = y$. For any $0 \leq l \leq n$, let I_l denote the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $y_l \in U_i$. If $l \geq 1$ we have $u_{y_l} = 0$ and hence

$$\sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_{\pi(y)} = 0.$$

Therefore we can choose a neighbourhood W of $\pi(y)$ so small that $W \subset \pi(\bigcap_{i \in I_l} U_i)$ for any $0 \leq l \leq n$, and

$$\sum_{i \in I_l} f_i|_W = 0$$

for any $l \geq 1$. Furthermore we can choose W so small that $W \cap \text{supp}(f_i) = \emptyset$ for any i with $\pi(y) \notin \pi(U_i)$. Now $V = \pi^{-1}(W) \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} U_i$ is π -elementary satisfying $V \cap \text{supp}(u) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V)) \cap \text{supp}(u)$.

Since $\text{supp}(\epsilon(u)) = \pi(\text{supp}(u))$ is compact, we can choose a finite number of π -elementary subsets $V_1, \dots, V_m$ of E which all satisfy $V_j \cap \text{supp}(u) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V_j)) \cap \text{supp}(u)$, such that $\pi(V_1), \dots, \pi(V_m)$ cover $\text{supp}(\epsilon(u))$. This yields that $V_1, \dots, V_m$ cover $\text{supp}(u)$ as well. Choose $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\bigcup_{j=1}^m \pi(V_j))$ with $\rho\epsilon(u) = \epsilon(u)$, and write $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_j$ for some $\rho_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(V_j))$. This implies that $\rho u = u$ and that $\rho_j u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V_j)$. Choose compact sets $K_j \subset V_j$ and open sets $Z_j \subset K_j$ such that $\text{supp}(\rho_j u) \subset Z_j$,

for any $1 \leq j \leq m$. Note that $Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Z_j$ is an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(u)$ because $\rho u = u$.

Now for any $y \in \text{supp}(u)$ we can choose an open neighbourhood $Y_y \subset Z$ such that for any $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have either $Y_y \subset V_j$ if $y \in V_j$, or $\pi(Y_y) \cap \pi(K_j) = \emptyset$ if $y \notin V_j$. Indeed, if $y \notin V_j$ then we also have $\pi(y) \notin \pi(V_j)$ because $V_j \cap \text{supp}(u) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(V_j)) \cap \text{supp}(u)$. Then

$$Y = \bigcup_{y \in \text{supp}(u)} Y_y \subset Z$$

is an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(u)$ and is π -elementary. To see this, suppose that $z, w \in Y$ with $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. Then there exists $y \in \text{supp}(u)$ with $z \in Y_y$ and there exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $w \in Z_j \subset K_j$. If $y \notin V_j$ then $\pi(z) \in \pi(Y_y) \cap \pi(K_j) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore we must have $y \in V_j$, so $Y_y \subset V_j$ and hence $z, w \in V_j$. Since $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$ and V_j is π -elementary, it follows that $z = w$. $\square$

2. SPECTRAL SHEAF OF A COALGEBRA

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold. Throughout this section we shall write $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. For any left R -module C and any $x \in M$ we denote

$$N_x(C) = \{c \in C \mid fc = 0 \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M) \text{ with } f_x = 1\}.$$

Note that $N_x(C)$ is an R -submodule of C . The quotient of C over $N_x(C)$ is also an R -module, and we shall denote this quotient by

$$C_x = C/N_x(C).$$

For any $c \in C$ we shall write

$$c_x = c + N_x(C) \in C_x$$

for the image of c under the quotient projection $C \rightarrow C_x$. Note that we have $R_x = (\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ if we regard R as an R -module. Moreover, the action of R on C_x factors through R_x , so we can regard C_x as an R_x -module. If D is another R -module and $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ an R -linear map, then $\alpha(N_x(C)) \subset N_x(D)$ and hence α induces an R -linear (and also R_x -linear) map

$$\alpha_x : C_x \longrightarrow D_x.$$

Proposition 2.1. *For any Hausdorff manifold M and for any module C over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ we have:*

- (i) *If $c \in C$ satisfies $c_x = 0$ for any $x \in M$, then $c = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $(f_i) \subset R$ is a sequence satisfying $f_i f_{i+1} = f_{i+1}$ and $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(f_i) = \{x\}$, then*

$$N_x(C) = \bigcup_i (1 - f_i)C.$$

- (iii) *The exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow N_x(C) \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C_x \longrightarrow 0$$

is locally split, i.e. for any finitely generated R -submodule B of $N_x(C)$ there exists an R -linear map $C \rightarrow N_x(C)$ which is identity on B . In particular, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_x(C) \otimes_R D \longrightarrow C \otimes_R D \longrightarrow C_x \otimes_R D \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for any R -module D .

- (iv) *The homomorphisms $N_x(C) \otimes_R C \rightarrow C \otimes_R C$ and $C \otimes_R N_x(C) \rightarrow C \otimes_R C$ are injective, and the kernel of $C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C_x \otimes_R C_x$ equals to*

$$N_x(C \otimes_R C) = N_x(C) \otimes_R C = C \otimes_R N_x(C).$$

Proof. (i) Choose a function $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $\rho c = c$. Furthermore, for any $x \in M$ choose a function $p^x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which equals 1 on an open neighbourhood $V_x \subset M$ of x such that $p^x c = 0$. Since $(V_x)_{x \in M}$ is an open cover of M , we can write $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i$ for some $\rho_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V_{x_i})$ and $x_i \in M$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular we have $\rho_i p^{x_i} = \rho_i$ and hence $\rho_i c = \rho_i p^{x_i} c = 0$. This implies that $c = \rho c = 0$.

(ii) Since $f_i f_{i+1} = f_{i+1}$, it follows that f_i equals 1 on $\text{supp}(f_{i+1})$ and hence $\text{supp}(f_i)$ is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(f_{i+1})$. Now if $c \in N_x(C)$ there exists $f \in R$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $f c = 0$. In particular, there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that f equals 1 on U . Because $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(f_i) = \{x\}$ we can choose $i \geq 1$ such that $\text{supp}(f_i) \subset U$, and therefore $f_i f = f_i$. Thus

$$c = c - f_i f c = (1 - f_i) c .$$

Conversely, if $c = (1 - f_i) d$ for some $d \in D$ and some $i \geq 1$, then

$$f_{i+1} c = f_{i+1} (1 - f_i) d = f_{i+1} d - f_{i+1} d = 0 ,$$

so $c \in N_x(C)$.

(iii) We can choose a sequence $(f_i) \subset R$ satisfying the condition in (ii). Then (ii) implies that for a finitely generated R -submodule B of $N_x(C)$ there exists $i \geq 1$ such that $B \subset (1 - f_i) C$. Let $\alpha : C \rightarrow N_x(C)$ be given by $\alpha(c) = (1 - f_{i+1}) c$. For any $c \in C$ we have

$$\alpha((1 - f_i) c) = (1 - f_i)(1 - f_{i+1}) c = (1 - f_i) c ,$$

so α is identity on $(1 - f_i) C$ and hence also on B .

For any $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \in \text{Ker}(N_x(C) \otimes_R D \rightarrow C \otimes_R D)$, let B be the R -submodule generated by $c_1, \dots, c_k \in N_x(C)$, and choose $\alpha : C \rightarrow N_x(C)$ which is identity on B , as above. Then we have

$$0 = (\alpha \otimes id) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j .$$

This shows that $N_x(C) \otimes_R D \rightarrow C \otimes_R D$ is injective.

(iv) The first part of the statement is a direct consequence of (iii). It is then well known that the kernel of $C \otimes_R C \rightarrow C_x \otimes_R C_x$ equals $N_x(C) \otimes_R C + C \otimes_R N_x(C)$, so we only need to prove that

$$N_x(C \otimes_R C) = N_x(C) \otimes_R C = C \otimes_R N_x(C) .$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \in C \otimes_R N_x(C)$, we can choose $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $f d_j = 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. It follows that

$$f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \right) = 0 ,$$

so $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \in N_x(C \otimes_R C)$. This shows that $C \otimes_R N_x(C) \subset N_x(C \otimes_R C)$. Conversely, let $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j \in N_x(C \otimes_R C)$. Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $p_x = 1$ such that $p(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes p d_j = 0$, and therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes d_j = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \otimes (d_j - p d_j) \in C \otimes_R N_x(C) .$$

Indeed, we can choose $q \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $q_x = 1$ and $qp = q$, so $q(d_j - p d_j) = 0$ and hence $d_j - p d_j \in N_x(C)$. This proves that $C \otimes_R N_x(C) = N_x(C \otimes_R C)$. The equality $N_x(C) \otimes_R C = N_x(C \otimes_R C)$ is obtained by an analogous argument. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, let (C, Δ, ϵ) be a coalgebra over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and let $x \in M$. Then*

$$(C_x, \Delta_x, \epsilon_x)$$

is a coalgebra over the ring $R_x = (\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$, called the local coalgebra of C at x . If D is another coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and if $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ is a homomorphism of coalgebras, then

$$\alpha_x : C_x \longrightarrow D_x$$

is also a homomorphism of coalgebras.

Proof. The counit ϵ induces the R -linear map

$$\epsilon_x : C_x \longrightarrow R_x ,$$

while the comultiplication Δ induces

$$\Delta_x : C_x \longrightarrow (C \otimes_R C)_x = C_x \otimes_{R_x} C_x .$$

Note that we can here identify $(C \otimes_R C)_x$ and $C_x \otimes_R C_x$ by Proposition 2.1 (iv), and that $C_x \otimes_R C_x = C_x \otimes_{R_x} C_x$. It is now easy to check that (Δ_x, ϵ_x) is a coalgebra structure on C_x over R_x . Finally, the equations

$$\epsilon_x \circ \alpha_x = (\epsilon \circ \alpha)_x = \epsilon_x$$

and

$$\Delta_x \circ \alpha_x = (\Delta \circ \alpha)_x = ((\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta)_x = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)_x \circ \Delta_x = (\alpha_x \otimes \alpha_x) \circ \Delta_x$$

show that α_x is a homomorphism of coalgebras. $\square$

Let C be a coalgebra over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. We shall say that an element c of C is *normalized at $x \in M$* if $\epsilon(c)_x = 1$. The element $c \in C$ is *normalized on a subset W of M* if it is normalized at any point of W .

Since the ring $R_x = (\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ has the identity, we can consider the set $G(C_x)$ of *grouplike* elements of C_x ,

$$G(C_x) = \{\zeta \in C_x \mid \Delta_x(\zeta) = \zeta \otimes \zeta, \epsilon_x(\zeta) = 1\} .$$

The following proposition compares grouplike elements of C_x with the weakly grouplike elements of C defined in Section 1:

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, let C be a coalgebra over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, and let $x \in M$. Then we have:*

- (i) *If $c \in G_w(C)$ is normalized at x , then $c_x \in G(C_x)$.*
- (ii) *If $c, d \in G_w(C)$ are normalized on open neighbourhoods U respectively V of x and if $c_x = d_x$, then there exists an open neighbourhood $W \subset U \cap V$ of x such that $c_y = d_y$ for any $y \in W$.*
- (iii) *If c is an element of C such that $c_x \in G(C_x)$, then c is normalized on an open neighbourhood U of x , and there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $fc \in G_w(C)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c'$ for some $c' \in C$. We have $\epsilon_x(c_x) = \epsilon(c)_x = 1$ and $\Delta_x(c_x) = c_x \otimes c'_x$. The counit property implies that $c_x = \epsilon_x(c_x)c'_x = c'_x$, so $c_x \in G(C_x)$.

(ii) Since $c_x = d_x$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $fc = fd$. Choose an open neighbourhood $W \subset U \cap V$ of x so small that f equals 1 on W . Then for any $y \in W$ we have $c_y = (fc)_y = (fd)_y = d_y$.

(iii) Note that $\epsilon(c)_x = \epsilon_x(c_x) = 1$, so the set U of all $y \in M$ with $\epsilon(c)_y = 1$ is an open neighbourhood of x . Since $(c \otimes c - \Delta(c))_x = 0$, Proposition 2.1 (iv) implies that

$$c \otimes c - \Delta(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i$$

for some $c_i \in C$ and $d_i \in N_x(C)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We can now choose $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $f d_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This yields $f(c \otimes c - \Delta(c)) = 0$ and hence $\Delta(fc) = fc \otimes c$, so $fc \in G_w(C)$. $\square$

Let C be a coalgebra over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. We define the *spectral sheaf* $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ of the coalgebra C to be the sheaf $\pi_{sp}(C) : \mathcal{E}_{sp}(C) \rightarrow M$ on M with the stalk

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)_x = G(C_x)$$

at any $x \in M$. The topology on $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ is given by a basis consisting of $\pi_{sp}(C)$ -elementary subsets of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ of the form

$$c_W = \{c_x \in G(C_x) \mid x \in W\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{sp}(C) ,$$

where W is any open subset of M and $c \in G_w(C)$ is any weakly grouplike element of C normalized on W . Proposition 2.3 implies that this is indeed a basis for topology on $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$, so $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ is a sheaf on M .

Let D be another coalgebra over $R = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and let $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism of coalgebras. By Proposition 2.2 the homomorphism α induces the homomorphism of coalgebras over $R_x = (\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$

$$\alpha_x : C_x \longrightarrow D_x ,$$

for any $x \in M$. In particular, $\alpha_x(G(C_x)) \subset G(D_x)$. We can now define a map over M

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha) : \mathcal{E}_{sp}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp}(D) ,$$

given on the stalk of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ at any point x of M by

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)|_{\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)_x} = \alpha_x|_{G(C_x)} : G(C_x) \longrightarrow G(D_x) .$$

To see that $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)$ is a morphism of sheaves, it is enough to observe that for a basic open c_W , given by an open subset W of M and a weakly grouplike $c \in G_w(C)$ normalized on W , the element $\alpha(c)$ is also weakly grouplike and normalized on W , and

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)(c_W) = \alpha(c)_W .$$

We may conclude that we defined a functor

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp} : \text{CoAlg}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(M) .$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ a sheaf on M . There is a natural isomorphism of sheaves on M*

$$\Phi_E : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$$

defined for any $y \in E$ by

$$\Phi_E(y) = (f \circ \pi|_U)_{\pi(y)} ,$$

where U is any π -elementary subset of E with $y \in U$ and f is any function in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ which satisfies $f_{\pi(y)} = 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.4 we know that $f \circ \pi|_U$ is a weakly grouplike element of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$. Furthermore, the grouplike element $(f \circ \pi|_U)_{\pi(y)}$ of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)_{\pi(y)}$ does not depend on the choice of U and f , so Φ_E is well defined. The map Φ_E is surjective, because any element of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$ over $x \in M$ equals u_x for some $u \in G_w(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$

normalized at x , by Proposition 2.3 (iii). Proposition 1.4 shows that $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ for some π -elementary subset U of E , so $u = \epsilon(u) \circ \pi|_U$. Now

$$u_x = (\epsilon(u) \circ \pi|_U)_x = \Phi_E(y) ,$$

where y is the unique element of U with $\pi(y) = x$.

To see that Φ_E is injective, observe that if U' is another π -elementary subset of E , $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U'))$ and $y' \in U'$ such that $(f' \circ \pi|_{U'})_{y'} = (f \circ \pi|_U)_y$, then $\pi(y) = \pi(y')$ and there exists a function $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $p_{\pi(y)} = 1$ such that $pf \circ \pi|_U = pf' \circ \pi|_{U'}$. In particular $y \in U'$ and hence $y = y'$.

To prove that Φ_E is a diffeomorphism it is now enough to show that (small) π -elementary subsets of E map to $\pi_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$ -elementary subsets of the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))$. Take any π -elementary subset U of E and a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ which equals 1 on an open subset W of $\pi(U)$. Now $\Phi_E(U \cap \pi^{-1}(W)) = (f \circ \pi|_U)_W$.

Finally, we have to check that Φ_E is natural in E . Take any morphism of sheaves $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ over M , let $y \in E$ and choose a π -elementary subset U of E with $y \in U$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ with $f_{\pi(y)} = 1$. Note that $\phi(U)$ is ξ -elementary, where ξ is the projection of the sheaf F . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\phi_+)(\Phi_E(y)) &= \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\phi_+)(f \circ \pi|_U)_{\pi(y)} = (\phi_+)_{\pi(y)}(f \circ \pi|_U)_{\pi(y)} \\ &= (\phi_+(f \circ \pi|_U))_{\pi(y)} = (f \circ \xi|_{\phi(U)})_{\pi(y)} \\ &= \Phi_F(\phi(y)) . \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\Phi : id \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp} \circ \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is a natural isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Then there is a natural homomorphism of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$*

$$\Psi_C : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)) \longrightarrow C$$

given by

$$\Psi_C\left(\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi_{sp}(C)|_{(c_i)_{W_i}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i ,$$

where c_i is a weakly grouplike element of C normalized on an open subset W_i of M and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_i)$, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. To simplify the notation, write $\pi = \pi_{sp}(C)$. First we have to prove that Ψ_C is well defined. Any function in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C))$ is a sum of functions of the form $f \circ \pi|_{c_W}$, for $c \in G_w(C)$ normalized on an open subset W of M and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$, because the π -elementary subsets of the form c_W constitute an open cover (in fact a basis) of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$. Suppose that for any $i = 1, \dots, k$ we have a weakly grouplike element $c_i \in G_w(C)$ normalized on an open subset W_i of M and a function $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_i)$ such that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_{W_i}} = 0 .$$

We have to show that $\Psi_C(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0$.

By Proposition 2.1 (i) it is sufficient to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^k (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = 0$ for any $x \in M$. Take any $x \in M$ and let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ be all distinct elements of $G(C_x)$ in $\bigcup_{i=1}^k (c_i)_{W_i}$. For any $1 \leq l \leq n$ denote by I_l the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $x \in W_i$ and $\zeta_l = (c_i)_x$. Let I_0 be the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $x \notin W_i$. Note that $\{1, \dots, k\}$ is the disjoint union of $I_0, \dots, I_n$. Furthermore, we have $(f_i)_x = 0$ for any $i \in I_0$, while $\sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x = 0$ because $u_{\zeta_l} = 0$, for any $1 \leq l \leq n$. This yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = \sum_{i \in I_0} (f_i)_x (c_i)_x + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x \right) \zeta_l = 0 .$$

Now we have to check that Ψ_C is a homomorphism of coalgebras. Let W be an open subset of M , let c be a weakly grouplike element of C normalized on W and let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$. Choose also a function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ which satisfies $\eta f = f$. Since $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c' = c' \otimes c$ for some $c' \in C$, it follows that $\Delta(fc) = fc' \otimes c = f\epsilon(c)c' \otimes c = fc \otimes c$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Psi_C \otimes \Psi_C)(\Delta(f \circ \pi|_{c_W})) \\ &= (\Psi_C \otimes \Psi_C)(f \circ \pi|_{c_W} \otimes \eta \circ \pi|_{c_W}) \\ &= fc \otimes \eta c = \eta fc \otimes c = fc \otimes c = \Delta(fc) \\ &= \Delta(\Psi_C(f \circ \pi|_{c_W})) . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\epsilon(\Psi_C(f \circ \pi|_{c_W})) = \epsilon(fc) = f = \epsilon(f \circ \pi|_{c_W}) .$$

Finally, let $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Note that $\alpha(c)$ is weakly grouplike and normalized on W . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_D(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)_+(f \circ \pi|_{c_W})) &= \Psi_D(f \circ \pi_{sp}(D)|_{\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)(c_W)}) \\ &= \Psi_D(f \circ \pi_{sp}(D)|_{\alpha(c)_W}) \\ &= f\alpha(c) = \alpha(fc) \\ &= \alpha(\Psi_C(f \circ \pi|_{c_W})) , \end{aligned}$$

so $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty \circ \mathcal{E}_{sp} \rightarrow id$ is a natural transformation. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *For any Hausdorff manifold M , the functor*

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty : \text{Sh}(M) \longrightarrow \text{CoAlg}(M)$$

is fully faithful and left adjoint to the functor $\mathcal{E}_{sp}$.

Proof. The unit of the adjunction is Φ of Theorem 2.4, while Ψ of Theorem 2.5 is the counit. Indeed, take any sheaf $\pi : E \rightarrow M$, a π -elementary subset U of E and any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$. Choose also a function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ which equals 1 on an open neighbourhood $W \subset U$ of $\text{supp}(f)$, and put $V = (\pi|_U)^{-1}(W)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)}((\Phi_E)_+(f \circ \pi|_U)) &= \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)}((\Phi_E)_+(f \circ \pi|_V)) \\ &= \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)}(f \circ \pi_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))|_{\Phi_E(V)}) \\ &= \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)}(f \circ \pi_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E))|_{(\eta \circ \pi|_U)_W}) \\ &= f(\eta \circ \pi|_U) = f \circ \pi|_U . \end{aligned}$$

Next, take a coalgebra C over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and any $c_x \in \mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)_x$ represented by a weakly grouplike $c \in C$ normalized on an open neighbourhood W of $x \in M$. Choose also a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ with $f_x = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_C)(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)}(c_x)) &= \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_C)((f \circ \pi_{sp}(C)|_{c_W})_x) \\ &= (\Psi_C(f \circ \pi_{sp}(C)|_{c_W}))_x \\ &= (fc)_x = c_x . \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\mathcal{E}_{sp}$ is right adjoint to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$. Since the unit of this adjunction is a natural isomorphism by Theorem 2.4, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is fully faithful. $\square$

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. We say that a subset $\Lambda \subset C$ *normally generate* C if any $c \in C$ can be written as a sum

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i$$

for some $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Lambda$ such that c_i is normalized on $\text{supp}(f_i)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We say that $\Lambda \subset C$ is *normally linearly independent* at $x \in M$ if for any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Lambda$ normalized at x and for any $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0,$$

it follows that either $(f_i)_x = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$ or there exist distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $f c_i = f c_j$. A subset Λ is *normally linearly independent* if it is normally linearly independent at any $x \in M$.

For any subset $\Lambda \subset C$ and any $x \in M$ let us denote

$$\Lambda_x^\epsilon = \{c_x \mid c \in \Lambda, \epsilon(c)_x = 1\} \subset C_x.$$

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.*

- (i) *A subset $\Lambda \subset C$ normally generate C if and only if the set Λ_x^ϵ generate C_x as an $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -module, for any $x \in M$.*
- (ii) *A subset $\Lambda \subset C$ is normally linearly independent at $x \in M$ if the set Λ_x^ϵ is $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -linearly independent in C_x .*

Proof. (i) Suppose that Λ normally generate C . Take any $x \in M$ and $c_x \in C_x$ represented by an element $c \in C$, and write $c = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and some $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Lambda$ such that c_i is normalized on $\text{supp}(f_i)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let I be the set of those $1 \leq i \leq k$ for which $x \in \text{supp}(f_i)$. Now we have

$$c_x = \sum_{i \in I} (f_i)_x (c_i)_x,$$

with $\epsilon(c_i)_x = 1$ for any $i \in I$.

Conversely, assume that Λ_x^ϵ generate C_x for any $x \in M$, and take any $c \in C$. For any $x \in M$ we can write

$$c_x = \sum_{i=1}^{k_x} (f_i^x)_x (c_i^x)_x$$

for some functions $f_i^x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and some $(c_i^x)_x \in \Lambda_x^\epsilon$ represented by $c_i^x \in \Lambda$ normalized at x , for any $i = 1, \dots, k_x$. Therefore there exists $f^x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which equals 1 on an open neighbourhood V_x of x such that

$$f^x c = \sum_{i=1}^{k_x} f^x f_i^x c_i^x.$$

We can choose V_x so small that each c_i^x is normalized on V_x .

Choose $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $\rho c = c$. Since $(V_x)_{x \in M}$ is an open cover of M , we can write $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_j$ for some $\rho_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V_{x_j})$ and $x_j \in M$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. In particular we have $\rho_j f^{x_j} = \rho_j$. It follows that

$$c = \rho c = \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_j f^{x_j} c = \sum_{j=1}^m \rho_j \sum_{i=1}^{k_{x_j}} f^{x_j} f_i^{x_j} c_i^{x_j}.$$

Since each $c_i^{x_j} \in \Lambda$ is normalized on $\text{supp}(\rho_j)$ and hence also on $\text{supp}(\rho_j f^{x_j} f_i^{x_j})$, it follows that Λ normally generate C .

(ii) Suppose first that Λ_x^ϵ is $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -linearly independent. Let $c_1, \dots, c_k$ be elements of Λ normalized at x and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0.$$

If all $(c_i)_x$ are distinct then it follows that $(f_i)_x = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. On the other hand, if there exist distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ with $(c_i)_x = (c_j)_x$ then by definition there is a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that $f c_i = f c_j$.

Conversely, assume that Λ is normally linearly independent at x . Take any distinct $(c_1)_x, \dots, (c_k)_x \in \Lambda_x^\epsilon$ represented by $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Lambda$ normalized at x . Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = 0.$$

Hence there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f f_i c_i = 0.$$

Since $(c_i)_x$ are distinct, it follows that $(f_i)_x = (f f_i)_x = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. $\square$

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, and let $\Lambda \subset G_w(C)$. Define a subsheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)$ of the spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ on M by

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)_x = \{c_x \mid c \in \Lambda, \epsilon(c)_x = 1\} = \Lambda_x^\epsilon$$

for any $x \in M$. This is indeed an open subspace of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ because for each $c_x \in \mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)_x$ represented by $c \in \Lambda$ with $\epsilon(c)_x = 1$ there exists an open neighbourhood W of x such that c is normalized on W , and hence $c_W \subset \mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)$. Denote by $inc : \mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ the inclusion. The induced map $inc_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C))$ is a monomorphism given by extension by zero. Let us denote by

$$\Psi_C^\Lambda = \Psi_C \circ inc_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)) \longrightarrow C$$

the restriction of Ψ_C to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C))$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and let Λ be subset of $G_w(C)$. Then we have:*

- (i) Ψ_C^Λ is an epimorphism if and only if Λ normally generate C .
- (ii) Ψ_C^Λ is a monomorphism if and only if Λ is normally linearly independent.

Remark. In particular, this theorem implies that Ψ_C is an epimorphism if and only if $G_w(C)$ normally generate C , and that Ψ_C is a monomorphism if and only if $G_w(C)$ is normally linearly independent.

Proof. Write $\pi = \pi_{sp}(C)$. First note that the π -elementary subsets c_W , for $c \in \Lambda$ normalized on an open subset $W \subset M$, form a basis on $\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)$. Therefore any element of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C))$ can be written as a sum of functions of the form $f \circ \pi|_{c_W}$, where $c \in \Lambda$ is normalized on W and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$. Since Ψ_C^Λ is given by

$$\Psi_C^\Lambda(f \circ \pi|_{c_W}) = f c,$$

this clearly implies (i).

To prove (ii), suppose first that Ψ_C^Λ is injective. Take any $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \Lambda$ normalized at a point $x \in M$, and any $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0$. Assume that all $(c_1)_x, \dots, (c_k)_x$ are distinct. Let W be an open neighbourhood of

x such that each c_i is normalized on W , and choose any $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ with $\nu_x = 1$. Since

$$\Psi_C^\Lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_W} \right) = \nu \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0 ,$$

it follows by injectivity of Ψ_C^Λ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \nu f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_W} = 0 .$$

Thus for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ we have

$$0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_W} \right)_{(c_j)_x} = \pi_{(c_j)_x}^* ((\nu f_j)_x) ,$$

and hence $(f_j)_x = (\nu f_j)_x = 0$.

Conversely, assume that Λ is normally linearly independent at any $x \in M$. Take any $u \in \text{Ker}(\Psi_C^\Lambda)$ and write

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_{W_i}} ,$$

where each $c_i \in \Lambda$ is normalized on an open set $W_i \subset M$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_i)$. Thus we have $\Psi_C^\Lambda(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i c_i = 0$.

We have to show that $u = 0$. It is sufficient to show that $u_{(c_j)_x} = 0$ for any $x \in M$ and any $1 \leq j \leq k$ with $x \in W_j$. To this end, take any $x \in M$ and denote by $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ all distinct elements of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}^\Lambda(C)_x$ in $\bigcup_{i=1}^k (c_i)_{W_i}$. Let I_0 be the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ for which $x \notin W_i$. Moreover, for any $1 \leq l \leq n$ let I_l be the set of all $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $\zeta_l = (c_i)_x$. Since $(f_i)_x = 0$ for any $i \in I_0$, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = \sum_{i \in I_0} (f_i)_x (c_i)_x + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x (c_i)_x = \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x \right) \zeta_l .$$

Since $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ are $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -linearly independent by Proposition 2.7 (ii), it follows that

$$\sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i)_x = 0$$

for any $1 \leq l \leq n$. Now for any $1 \leq m \leq n$ and for any $j \in I_m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(c_j)_x} &= \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_{W_i}})_{(c_j)_x} = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i \in I_l} (f_i \circ \pi|_{(c_i)_{W_i}})_{\zeta_m} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_m} \pi_{\zeta_m}^* ((f_i)_x) = \pi_{\zeta_m}^* \left(\sum_{i \in I_m} (f_i)_x \right) = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Ψ_C^Λ is a monomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. If for any $x \in M$ the $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -module C_x is flat and if any two group-like elements of C_x are $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -linearly independent, then $\Psi_C : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)) \rightarrow C$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.7 with $\Lambda = G_w(C)$, and from Proposition 1.1 with $L = G(C_x)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let C be a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) The coalgebra C is isomorphic to the sheaf coalgebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ of a sheaf E on M .
- (ii) The homomorphism of coalgebras $\Psi_C : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)) \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) The weakly grouplike elements of C normally generate C and are normally linearly independent.
- (iv) The $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_x$ -module C_x is freely generated by the grouplike elements of C_x , for any $x \in M$.

Moreover, if the coalgebra C is isomorphic to the sheaf coalgebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(E)$ of a sheaf E on M , then the sheaf E is isomorphic to the spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ of C .

Proof. The equivalence between (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows directly from Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.7 with $\Lambda = G_w(C)$. The condition (ii) clearly implies (i), while (i) implies (ii) by Theorem 2.6. The isomorphism between E and $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(C)$ is also a consequence of Theorem 2.6. $\square$

3. GROUPOID HOPF ALGEBROIDS

In this section we show that the space of smooth functions with compact support on a non-necessarily Hausdorff étale Lie groupoid over a manifold M admits a natural structure of a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$.

Suppose that A is an algebra with a commutative subalgebra $R \subset A$. Here, and throughout this paper we assume that algebras are associative, perhaps without identity, and over a fixed base field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Assume that A has *local identities* in R , i.e. for any elements $a_1, \dots, a_k$ of A there exists $r \in R$ such that

$$a_i r = r a_i = a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

In particular, this implies that R has local identities. Note that A can be regarded as an R - R -bimodule, and both of these module structures are locally unitary.

We shall denote by $A \otimes_R^l A$ the tensor product with respect to the left R -action of R on both factors. In other words, $A \otimes_R^l A$ is the quotient of $A \otimes A = A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} A$ over the subspace generated by elements of the form $ra \otimes b - a \otimes rb$, for all $a, b \in A$ and $r \in R$. Analogously, $A \otimes_R^r A$ will denote the tensor product with respect to the right R -action on the first factor and the left R -action on the second one. Note that $A \otimes_R^l A$ has a left R -action (because R is commutative) and two natural right R -actions, and all these actions commute with each other.

Definition 3.1. Let A be an algebra with local identities in a commutative subalgebra $R \subset A$. A *Hopf algebroid structure* on A over R consists of three $\mathbb{F}$ -linear maps, the *comultiplication*

$$\Delta : A \longrightarrow A \otimes_R^l A,$$

the *counit*

$$\epsilon : A \longrightarrow R$$

and the *antipode*

$$S : A \longrightarrow A,$$

such that

- (i) Δ and ϵ are homomorphisms of left R -modules satisfying $(id \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = id$ and $(\epsilon \otimes id) \circ \Delta = id$,
- (ii) $\epsilon|_R = id$, $\Delta|_R$ is the canonical embedding $R \cong R \otimes_R R \subset A \otimes_R^l A$, and the two right R -actions on $A \otimes_R^l A$ coincide on ΔA ,
- (iii) $\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b)$ for any $a, b \in A$,
- (iv) $S|_R = id$ and $S \circ S = id$,
- (v) $S(ab) = S(b)S(a)$ for any $a, b \in A$, and
- (vi) $\mu \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \Delta = \epsilon \circ S$, where $\mu : A \otimes_R^r A \rightarrow A$ denotes the multiplication.

If R is a commutative algebra with local identities, then a *Hopf algebroid over R* is a quadruple (A, Δ, ϵ, S) , where A is an algebra which has R for a subalgebra and has local identities in R , and where (Δ, ϵ, S) is a Hopf algebroid structure on A over R .

Remark. (1) Condition (i) means precisely that (A, Δ, ϵ) is a coalgebra over R with respect to the left action of R on A . A Hopf algebroid $A = (A, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ over R is *coassociative* respectively *cocommutative* if the coalgebra (A, Δ, ϵ) is coassociative respectively cocommutative.

(2) Note that (ii) implies that the product on $A \otimes A$ induces a product

$$\Delta A \otimes (A \otimes_R^l A) \longrightarrow A \otimes_R^l A$$

which is used in (iii). The property (iii) together with (ii) yields that Δ is a homomorphism of right R -modules, for both right R -actions on $A \otimes_R^l A$. This in particular implies that one can define a linear map $\overline{\Delta} : A \otimes_R^l A \rightarrow A \otimes_R^l A$ with

$$\overline{\Delta}(a \otimes b) = \Delta(a)(r \otimes b),$$

where r is any element of R satisfying $rb = b$.

(3) In various definitions of Hopf algebroids (or quantum groupoids) given in the literature [9, 10, 13, 16], the base algebra R may be non-commutative and two maps, the source and the target, from R to A are parts of the structure. In our definition, we concentrate on a special class of Hopf algebroids for which R is a commutative subalgebra of A which may not lie in the center of A , while the source and the target maps are both equal to the inclusion. On the other hand, we do not assume that A has the identity.

(4) We do not assume here any direct relation between $\Delta \circ S$ and $(S \otimes S) \circ \Delta$ as this is not necessary for our results, but one should note that these two maps can not be directly compared because they have different targets. Indeed, the map $S \otimes S$ is an isomorphism between $A \otimes_R^l A$ and $A \otimes_R^{rr} A$. Denote by $\varpi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes_R^l A \otimes_R^{rr} A$ the quotient projection, where $A \otimes_R^l A \otimes_R^{rr} A$ is the tensor product with respect to both the left and the right actions, i.e. the kernel of ϖ is the subspace generated by elements of the form $rar' \otimes b - a \otimes rbr'$, for all $a, b \in A$ and $r, r' \in R$. The definition of an étale Hopf algebroid given in [13] assumes that

$$\varpi \circ \Delta \circ S = \varpi \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta.$$

On the other hand, the comultiplication Δ is injective and S is invertible, so there exists a unique $\mathbb{F}$ -linear isomorphism $\gamma : \Delta A \rightarrow (S \otimes S)\Delta A$ such that

$$\gamma \circ \Delta \circ S = (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta.$$

This equation, together with the property (vi), implies that

$$\mu \circ (id \otimes S) \circ \gamma \circ \Delta = \epsilon.$$

Let R be a commutative algebra with local identities. If A and B are two Hopf algebroids over R , then a map $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over R if α is a homomorphism of algebras, $\alpha|_R = id$, $\epsilon \circ \alpha = \epsilon$, $(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha$ and $S \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ S$. In particular, a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over R is also a homomorphism of underlying coalgebras over R . We shall denote by

$$\text{HoAlgd}(R)$$

the category of Hopf algebroids over R and homomorphisms between them.

Let A be a Hopf algebroid over R . Since A is also a coalgebra over R , we can consider the set of weakly grouplike elements $G_w(A)$ of A . A weakly grouplike element $a \in G_w(A)$ of A is *S -invariant* if there exists $a' \in A$ such that $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$

and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$. We shall denote the set of S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A by

$$G_w^S(A).$$

The following properties are easy consequences of Definition 3.1:

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a commutative algebra with local identities and let A be a Hopf algebroid over R . Then $R \subset G_w^S(A) \subset G_w(A)$, and both $G_w(A)$ and $G_w^S(A)$ are closed under the multiplication in A . Furthermore, we have $S(G_w^S(A)) = G_w^S(A)$. If $a \in G_w^S(A)$ with $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$, then we have*

- (i) $a = \epsilon(a)a' = \epsilon(a')a = a\epsilon(S(a')) = a'\epsilon(S(a))$,
- (ii) $S(a) = \epsilon(S(a'))S(a) = \epsilon(S(a))S(a') = S(a')\epsilon(a) = S(a)\epsilon(a')$,
- (iii) $\Delta(a) = \epsilon(a)a' \otimes a' = a' \otimes a$,
- (iv) $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a')\epsilon(a) = S(a) \otimes S(a')$,
- (v) $\epsilon(a) = aS(a') = a'S(a)$ and
- (vi) $\epsilon(S(a)) = S(a')a = S(a)a'$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be a commutative algebra with local identities and let A be a Hopf algebroid over R . If A is generated by $G_w^S(A)$ as an algebra, then*

- (i) A is generated by $G_w^S(A)$ as an abelian group,
- (ii) A is cocommutative and coassociative,
- (iii) $\epsilon(ab) = \epsilon(a\epsilon(b))$ for any $a, b \in A$,
- (iv) $(S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta} \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta} = id$,
- (v) $\varpi \circ \Delta \circ S = \varpi \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta$, and
- (vi) ΔA is generated, as an abelian group, by elements of the form $a \otimes a'$ satisfying $\gamma(a \otimes a') = a \otimes a' = a' \otimes a$.

Remark. Here $\overline{\Delta}$, ϖ and γ are given as in Remark (2) and (4) to Definition 3.1. This proposition shows that a Hopf algebroid A generated by $G_w^S(A)$ is an étale Hopf algebroid as defined in [13]. Note, however, the difference in notation: in [13] the coalgebra structure on A is assumed to be over the right R -module structure.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are consequences of Proposition 3.2. In fact, recall from Section 1 that (ii) is true for any coalgebra which is generated, as an abelian group, by weakly grouplike elements.

(iii) It is sufficient to prove this for $a, b \in G_w^S(A)$. Let $a', b' \in A$ be such that $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$, $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$, $\Delta(b) = b \otimes b'$ and $\Delta(S(b)) = S(b') \otimes S(b)$. Then we have $ab \in G_w^S(A)$ with $\Delta(ab) = ab \otimes a'b'$ and $\Delta(S(ab)) = S(a'b') \otimes S(ab)$, and also $a\epsilon(b) \in G_w^S(A)$ with $\Delta(a\epsilon(b)) = a\epsilon(b) \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a\epsilon(b))) = S(a') \otimes S(a\epsilon(b))$. Therefore

$$\epsilon(ab) = abS(a'b') = abS(b')S(a') = a\epsilon(b)S(a') = \epsilon(a\epsilon(b)).$$

(iv) With a, a' as in (iii) and arbitrary $b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta} \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta}(a \otimes b) &= (S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta} \circ (S \otimes id)(a \otimes a'b) \\ &= (S \otimes id) \circ \overline{\Delta}(S(a) \otimes a'b) \\ &= (S \otimes id)(S(a') \otimes S(a)a'b) \\ &= a' \otimes \epsilon(S(a))b = a'\epsilon(S(a)) \otimes b \\ &= a \otimes b. \end{aligned}$$

(v) and (vi) are obvious. $\square$

We shall now describe our main example of a Hopf algebroid. In [13] we showed that the Connes convolution algebra of a Hausdorff étale Lie groupoid ([2, 3]) has

a natural structure of a cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebroid. We shall now generalize this construction to non-Hausdorff étale Lie groupoids.

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold. Recall that an étale Lie groupoid over M (see e.g. [5, 6, 8, 12]) is a non-necessarily Hausdorff manifold G with a groupoid structure over the manifold of objects $G_0 = M$ of G , given by the structure maps

$$G \times_M^{st} G \xrightarrow{m\ell t} G \xrightarrow{inv} G \xrightleftharpoons[t]{s} M \xrightarrow{uni} G$$

which are all local diffeomorphisms. Here s and t are the source and the target map, while $m\ell t$ is the partial multiplication, defined on the fibered product $G \times_M^{st} G$ taken with respect to the source map on the first factor and with respect to the target map on the second. As usual, we write $m\ell t(g, h) = gh$. We shall identify M with a submanifold of G via the unit map uni , which maps a point $x \in M$ to the unit $1_x \in G$ at x . Any arrow $g \in G$ is invertible with the inverse $inv(g) = g^{-1}$.

If H is another étale Lie groupoid over M , then a smooth map $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a *morphism of groupoids over M* if $\phi|_M = id$, $s \circ \phi = s$, $t \circ \phi = t$ and $\phi \circ m\ell t = m\ell t \circ (\phi \times \phi)$. We shall denote by

$$\text{EtGrpd}(M)$$

the category of étale Lie groupoids over M and morphisms between them.

Let G be an étale Lie groupoid over M . A *bisection* of G is an open subset U of G which is both s -elementary and t -elementary. An example of a bisection is $M \subset G$. All bisections of G form a basis of topology of G . Any bisection U of G gives a diffeomorphism

$$\tau_U : t \circ (s|_U)^{-1} : s(U) \longrightarrow t(U) .$$

If U and V are two bisections of G , then $U \times_M^{st} V$ is $m\ell t$ -elementary, its image $UV = m\ell t(U \times_M^{st} V)$ is also a bisection of G , and

$$\tau_{UV} = \tau_U \circ \tau_V|_{\tau_V^{-1}(s(U))} .$$

Moreover, $U^{-1} = inv(U)$ is also a bisection of G and

$$\tau_{U^{-1}} = \tau_U^{-1} .$$

Now consider the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of smooth functions with compact support on G . First note that because the bisections of G form a basis of G , any element of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ can be written as a sum of elements of the form

$$f \circ t|_U ,$$

where U is a bisection of G and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(U))$. Of course, the same is true if we replace the target map with the source map, but we shall prefer the target map. Also, there are two natural $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -module structures on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$, the one given by the source map and another given by the target map. Indeed, both these maps are local diffeomorphisms and hence sheaves on M . To make the difference transparent, we shall always write the $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ induced by the target map as left action, while the $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ induced by the source map will be denoted as right action. Furthermore, the target sheaf $t : G \rightarrow M$ on M gives $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ a coalgebra structure over the left action of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, consisting of (left) $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -linear maps

$$\Delta : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)}^L \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$$

and

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M) .$$

There is another coalgebra structure induced by the source sheaf, but we will not have a special notation for it because we will not use it here explicitly.

Now we define the *convolution product* μ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ as the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)}^{rl} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{s,t}} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G \times_M^{st} G) \xrightarrow{mlt_+} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) .$$

Thus for any $u, v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ we have

$$uv = mlt_+(\Omega_{s,t}(u \otimes v)) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) .$$

Suppose that U and V are two bisections of G , and let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(U))$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(V))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ t|_U)(p \circ t|_V) &= mlt_+(\Omega_{s,t}(f \circ t|_U \otimes p \circ t|_V)) \\ &= mlt_+(\Omega_{s,t}(f \circ \tau_U \circ s|_U \otimes p \circ t|_V)) \\ &= mlt_+((f \circ \tau_U)p \circ s \circ pr_1|_{U \times_M^{st} V}) \\ &= mlt_+((f \circ \tau_U)p \circ \tau_U^{-1} \circ t \circ mlt|_{U \times_M^{st} V}) \\ &= f(p \circ \tau_U^{-1}) \circ t|_{UV} . \end{aligned}$$

Here note that $f(p \circ \tau_U^{-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(UV))$. One can use this multiplication formula to easily check that the convolution product on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is associative and that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is a (commutative) subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$. Moreover, the left and the right $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -action on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ coincide with the product in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ from the left and from the right respectively. Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ t|_M)(p \circ t|_V) &= f(p \circ \tau_M^{-1}) \circ t|_{MV} = fp \circ t|_V \\ &= f(p \circ t|_V) , \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} (p \circ t|_V)(f \circ t|_M) &= p(f \circ \tau_V^{-1}) \circ t|_{VM} = p(f \circ \tau_V^{-1}) \circ \tau_V \circ s|_V \\ &= (p \circ \tau_V)f \circ s|_V = (p \circ \tau_V \circ s|_V)f \\ &= (p \circ t|_V)f . \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore conclude that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is an algebra with subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ has local identities in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. This algebra is called the *convolution algebra* of the étale Lie groupoid G , and coincide with the Connes definition of convolution algebra of G in Hausdorff case (c.f. [2, 3]).

Finally, we define the antipode S on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ as

$$S = inv_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) .$$

If U is a bisection of G and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(U))$, we have

$$S(f \circ t|_U) = f \circ t \circ inv|_{U^{-1}} = f \circ s|_{U^{-1}} = (f \circ \tau_U) \circ t|_{U^{-1}} .$$

One can now easily check that this gives a cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebroid structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Moreover, if H is another étale Lie groupoid over M and $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ a morphism of étale groupoids over M , then $\phi_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$ is a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Indeed, since $\phi|_M = id$ we have $\phi_+|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)} = id$. We already know that ϕ_+ is a homomorphism of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+ \circ \mu &= \phi_+ \circ mlt_+ \circ \Omega_{s,t} = mlt_+ \circ (\phi \times \phi)_+ \circ \Omega_{s,t} \\ &= mlt_+ \circ \Omega_{s,t} \circ (\phi_+ \otimes \phi_+) = \mu \circ (\phi_+ \otimes \phi_+) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi_+ \circ S = \phi_+ \circ inv_+ = (\phi \circ inv)_+ = (inv \circ \phi)_+ = inv_+ \circ \phi_+ = S \circ \phi_+ .$$

Therefore we proved:

Proposition 3.4. *For any (non-necessarily Hausdorff) étale Lie groupoid G over a Hausdorff manifold M , the convolution algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of smooth functions with compact support on G has a natural structure of a cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, and this gives a functor*

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty : \text{EtGrpd}(M) \longrightarrow \text{HoAlgd}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)) .$$

We can also explicitly characterize the S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of groupoid Hopf algebroids:

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be an étale Lie groupoid over a Hausdorff manifold M . An element $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is S -invariant weakly grouplike if and only if $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ for some bisection U of G .*

Proof. By Proposition 1.4 it follows that there exists a t -elementary $Y \subset G$ such that $\text{supp}(u) \subset Y$. But since we also have $S(u) \in G_w^S(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$, the same proposition implies that there exists a t -elementary $X \subset G$ such that $\text{supp}(S(u)) \subset X$. It follows that $\text{inv}(X)$ is an s -elementary neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(u)$. Now $U = \text{inv}(X) \cap Y$ is a bisection with $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$. $\square$

Example 3.6. (1) A discrete group G can be viewed as an étale Lie groupoid over one object, $M = \{1\}$. The Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is in this case the group Hopf algebra $\mathbb{F}[G]$ over $\mathbb{F}$.

(2) Let $M = \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \geq 1$, and let G be the pair groupoid $M \times M$ over M . In other words, for any $i, j \in M$ there is exactly one arrow from j to i in G . The associated convolution algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M \times M)$ is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, while the coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M \times M)$ is over the subalgebra of diagonal matrices, given by $\Delta(e_{ij}) = e_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ and $\epsilon(e_{ij}) = e_{ii}$ on the standard basis of $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$.

4. SPECTRAL ÉTALE GROUPOID OF A HOPF ALGEBROID

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. For any S -invariant weakly grouplike element a of A , we define an $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map

$$T_a : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$$

by

$$T_a(f) = \epsilon(S(fa)) .$$

Observe that $T_{pa}(f) = T_a(pf)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Note also that we have

$$T_a(f) = \epsilon(S(fa)) = S(a)fa' = S(a')fa ,$$

where a' is any element of A such that $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$. Indeed, we have $\Delta(fa) = fa \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(fa)) = \Delta(S(a)f) = S(a') \otimes S(a)f = S(a') \otimes S(fa)$, so Proposition 3.2 gives $\epsilon(S(fa)) = S(a')fa = S(fa)a' = S(a)fa'$. If b is another weakly grouplike element of A with $\Delta(b) = b \otimes b'$ and $\Delta(S(b)) = S(b') \otimes S(b)$ for some $b' \in A$, then $\Delta(ba) = ba \otimes b'a'$ and $\Delta(S(ba)) = S(b'a') \otimes S(ba)$, so $T_a(T_b(f)) = S(a)S(b)fb'a' = S(ba)fb'a' = T_{ba}(f)$. Therefore we have

$$T_a \circ T_b = T_{ba} .$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and let a be an S -invariant weakly grouplike element of A normalized on an open subset W of M . Then there exists a unique diffeomorphism*

$$\tau_{W,a} : V \longrightarrow W ,$$

defined on an open subset V of M , such that $T_a(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ and the restriction $T_a|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)}$ is given by the composition with $\tau_{W,a}$, i.e. for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ we have

$$T_a(f) = f \circ \tau_{W,a}.$$

The S -invariant weakly grouplike element $S(a)$ is normalized on V and $\tau_{V,S(a)} = \tau_{W,a}^{-1}$. The map $T_a|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ is an isomorphism of algebras, with the inverse $T_{S(a)}|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)}$.

Proof. Take $a' \in A$ with $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$. First we shall prove that $T_a|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Indeed, by Proposition 3.2 we have for any $f, p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(f)T_a(p) &= S(a)fa'S(a)pa' = S(a)f\epsilon(a)pa' \\ &= S(a)fpa' = T_a(fp). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, Proposition 3.2 also implies

$$T_{S(a)}(T_a(f)) = a'S(a)fa'S(a) = \epsilon(a)f\epsilon(a) = f,$$

so T_a is injective on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$.

Now choose a sequence $(f_i) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ such that $f_i f_{i+1} = f_i$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $\bigcup_i \text{supp}(f_i) = W$. Therefore $f_{i+1}(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \text{supp}(f_i)$, so $\text{supp}(f_{i+1})$ is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(f_i)$. Define

$$V = \bigcup_i \text{supp}(T_a(f_i)) \subset M.$$

First note that $T_a(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$. Indeed, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ we can choose i such that $ff_i = f$, hence $T_a(f)T_a(f_i) = T_a(f)$ and thus $\text{supp}(T_a(f)) \subset \text{supp}(T_a(f_i)) \subset V$. Furthermore, we have $T_a(f_i)T_a(f_{i+1}) = T_a(f_i)$, and therefore $T_a(f_{i+1})(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \text{supp}(T_a(f_i))$. As before, this now implies that $\text{supp}(T_a(f_{i+1}))$ is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T_a(f_i))$, and hence V is open. Moreover, for any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ there exists i such that $T_a(f_i)p = p$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(T_{S(a)}(p)) &= S(a')apS(a')a \\ &= S(a')aT_a(f_i)pT_a(f_i)S(a')a \\ &= S(a')aS(a')f_iapS(a')f_iS(a')a \\ &= S(a')\epsilon(a)f_iapS(a')f_i\epsilon(a)a \\ &= S(a')f_iapS(a')f_i \\ &= T_a(f_i)pT_a(f_i) = p. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T_a(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$, so

$$T_a|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$$

is an isomorphism of algebras, with the inverse $T_{S(a)}|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)}$. The open subset V of M is of course independent of the choice of the sequence (f_i) , because it can clearly be described also as $V = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)} \text{supp}(T_a(f))$.

The isomorphism of algebras $T_a|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)} : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ is given by composition with a unique diffeomorphism $\tau_{W,a} : V \rightarrow W$. This can be deduced from well known results of the theory of characters on topological algebras, however, since we are not able to give a reference, we will give an elementary proof of this fact in Lemma 4.2 below. The inverse $T_{S(a)}$ of T_a is then of course given by composition with $\tau_{W,a}^{-1}$.

Finally, we will show that $S(a)$ is normalized on V . Since $\epsilon(S(a))S(a') = S(a)$ by Proposition 3.2, we have for any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$

$$\begin{aligned} p\epsilon(S(a)) \circ \tau_{W,a}^{-1} &= T_{S(a)}(p\epsilon(S(a))) = ap\epsilon(S(a))S(a') \\ &= apS(a) = apS(a')\epsilon(a) \\ &= T_{S(a)}(p)\epsilon(a) = T_{S(a)}(p) \\ &= p \circ \tau_{W,a}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\epsilon(S(a))$ equals 1 on V . Therefore $S(a)$ is normalized on V and $\tau_{W,a}^{-1} = \tau_{V,S(a)}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let V and W be Hausdorff manifolds and $T : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ an isomorphism of algebras. Then T is given by composition with a unique diffeomorphism $\tau : V \rightarrow W$.*

Proof. Take any point $x \in V$. Choose a sequence $(p_i) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ such that $p_i p_{i+1} = p_{i+1}$ and $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(p_i) = \{x\}$. This implies that $p_i(x') = 1$ for any $x' \in \text{supp}(p_{i+1})$, so $\text{supp}(p_i)$ is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(p_{i+1})$. Denote $f_i = T^{-1}(p_i) \neq 0$. We have $f_i f_{i+1} = f_{i+1}$, so $f_i(y) = 1$ for any $y \in \text{supp}(f_{i+1})$, and hence $\text{supp}(f_i)$ is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(f_{i+1})$. In particular, the intersection

$$K = \bigcap_i \text{supp}(f_i) \subset W$$

is non-empty.

We claim that in fact K consists of only one point y . Indeed, assume that there are two different points $y, y' \in K$. Choose two sequences of functions $(w_i), (w'_i) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ such that $w_1 w'_1 = 0$, $w_i w_{i+1} = w_{i+1}$, $w'_i w'_{i+1} = w'_{i+1}$, $w_i f_i = w_i$, $w'_i f_i = w'_i$, $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(w_i) = \{y\}$ and $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(w'_i) = \{y'\}$. This can be done because $\text{supp}(f_i)$ is a neighbourhood of K , for any $i \geq 1$. Let $q_i = T(w_i) \neq 0$ and $q'_i = T(w'_i) \neq 0$. We get $q_i q_{i+1} = q_{i+1}$ and $q_i p_i = q_i$, so

$$\text{supp}(q_{i+1}) \subset \text{supp}(q_i) \subset \text{supp}(p_i).$$

Analogously we get

$$\text{supp}(q'_{i+1}) \subset \text{supp}(q'_i) \subset \text{supp}(p_i).$$

This implies that

$$\bigcap_i \text{supp}(q_i) = \bigcap_i \text{supp}(q'_i) = \{x\}.$$

On the other hand we have $q_1 q'_1 = 0$ and hence also $q_2 q'_2 = q_1 q_2 q'_1 q'_2 = 0$. Since $\text{supp}(q_1)$ and $\text{supp}(q'_1)$ are neighbourhoods of $\text{supp}(q_2)$ and $\text{supp}(q'_2)$ respectively, this implies that $\text{supp}(q_2) \cap \text{supp}(q'_2) = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

This proves that K consists of only one point, and we denote this point by

$$\tau(x) \in W.$$

Note that this definition of $\tau(x)$ does not depend on the choice of the sequence (p_i) . Indeed, if $(p'_i) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ would be another sequence such that $p'_i p'_{i+1} = p'_{i+1}$ and $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(p'_i) = \{x\}$, we have $p_i p'_i \neq 0$, hence $f_i T^{-1}(p'_i) \neq 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. This cannot be true unless $\tau(x) \in \bigcap_i \text{supp}(T^{-1}(p'_i))$.

Thus we have a well defined map

$$\tau : V \longrightarrow W.$$

We have to show that T is given by the composition with this map. To this end, take any $x \in V$, and let the sequence $(p_i) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ be as above, i.e. $p_i p_{i+1} = p_{i+1}$ and $\bigcap_i \text{supp}(p_i) = \{x\}$, and denote $f_i = T^{-1}(p_i)$. First observe that if $w \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ with $T(w)(x) = 0$ then $w(\tau(x)) = 0$. Indeed, if $w(\tau(x)) \neq 0$ then w has no zeros on

a neighbourhood $U \subset W$ of $\tau(x)$. We can then choose $i \geq 1$ large enough so that $\text{supp}(f_i) \subset U$. This implies that $\frac{1}{w}f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$, which yields

$$1 = p_i(x) = T(f_i)(x) = T(w(\frac{1}{w}f_i))(x) = T(w)(x)T(\frac{1}{w}f_i)(x) = 0 ,$$

a contradiction. Now for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ we have

$$T(f - T(f)(x)f_1)(x) = T(f)(x) - T(f)(x)p_1(x) = 0$$

because $p_1(x) = 1$. By the argument above this yields

$$(f - T(f)(x)f_1)(\tau(x)) = 0 .$$

Since $f_1(\tau(x)) = 1$, we get

$$T(f)(x) = f(\tau(x)) .$$

The uniqueness of such a map τ is clear by the construction. The same argument shows that T^{-1} is given by composition with a unique map $W \rightarrow V$, which must be the inverse of τ by uniqueness. In particular, the map τ is a bijection. Since the smooth maps with compact support are preserved under the composition with τ and with its inverse as well, it follows that τ is a diffeomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Suppose that $a, b \in G_w^S(A)$ are normalized on open subsets W respectively U of M , and let $\tau_{W,a} : V \rightarrow W$ and $\tau_{U,b} : X \rightarrow U$ be the corresponding diffeomorphisms. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ and any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ we have*

- (i) $T_a(pf) = T_a(p)T_a(f) = (p \circ \tau_{W,a})(f \circ \tau_{W,a})$,
- (ii) $T_a(p)|_V = p \circ \tau_{W,a}$,
- (iii) $fa = a(f \circ \tau_{W,a})$,
- (iv) $ba \in G_w^S(A)$ is normalized on $Z = \tau_{U,b}(W \cap X)$, and
- (v) $\tau_{U,b} \circ \tau_{W,a}|_{\tau_{W,a}^{-1}(W \cap X)} = \tau_{Z,ba}$.

Proof. Part (i) Choose $a' \in A$ with $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a'$ and $\Delta(S(a)) = S(a') \otimes S(a)$. We have

$$pf \circ \tau_{W,a} = T_a(pf) = S(a)p\epsilon(a)fa' = S(a)pa'S(a)fa' = T_a(p)T_a(f) ,$$

and this also yields (ii) because it holds for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$.

(iii) Observe that

$$fa = a(f \circ \tau_{W,a})S(a')a = a(f \circ \tau_{W,a})\epsilon(S(a)) = a(f \circ \tau_{W,a})$$

because $S(a)$ is normalized on V .

(iv) Take $b' \in A$ with $\Delta(b) = b \otimes b'$ and $\Delta(S(b)) = S(b') \otimes S(b)$. We have

$$\epsilon(ba) = baS(b'a') = baS(a')S(b') = b\epsilon(a)S(b') = T_{S(b)}(\epsilon(a))$$

and hence (ii) implies

$$\epsilon(ba)|_Z = \epsilon(a) \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1}|_Z \equiv 1 .$$

This, together with $T_a \circ T_b = T_{ba}$, also implies (v). $\square$

Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Since A is also a coalgebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, we have the associated spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$ on M , with stalk $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)_y = G(A_y)$ for any $y \in M$. Here we should emphasize that A_y is obtained with respect to the left $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ -action. An *arrow of A with target $y \in M$* is an element $g \in G(A_y)$ for which there exists an S -invariant weakly grouplike element a of A normalized at y such that

$$g = a_y .$$

We denote by

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y \subset \mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)_y$$

the set of all arrows of A with target y . All arrows of A form a subsheaf

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}^{G_w^S(A)}(A) \subset \mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$$

of the spectral sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$ on M associated to the coalgebra A . In fact, we have a basis of open subsets of $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ of the form $a_W \subset \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$, for $a \in G_w^S(A)$ normalized on an open subset W of M .

We will now show that $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ has a natural structure of an étale Lie groupoid over M . The target map $t : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \rightarrow M$ of $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ is the projection of the sheaf $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ on M . Thus, if $a_y \in \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y$ is represented by an element $a \in G_w^S(A)$ normalized at y , we have

$$t(a_y) = y .$$

We define the source map $s : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \rightarrow M$ by

$$s(a_y) = \tau_{W,a}^{-1}(y) ,$$

where W is any open neighbourhood of y such that a is normalized on W . The independence of this definition of the choice of a and W clearly follows from the fact that for another $b \in G_w^S(A)$ normalized on an open neighbourhood U of y there exists $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which equals 1 on an open neighbourhood $Y \subset W \cap U$ of y such that $pa = pb$, and hence

$$\tau_{W,a}|_{\tau_{W,a}^{-1}(Y)} = \tau_{Y,a} = \tau_{Y,pa} = \tau_{Y,pb} = \tau_{Y,b} = \tau_{U,b}|_{\tau_{U,b}^{-1}(Y)} .$$

Note that $t(a_W) = W$, while $s(a_W)$ is precisely the domain of the corresponding diffeomorphism $\tau_{W,a}$.

To define a partial multiplication on $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$, take any arrow a_y with source x and target y and another arrow b_z with source y and target z . Here a and b are S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A , with a normalized on an open neighbourhood W of y and b normalized on an open neighbourhood U of z . Define the product of these two elements by

$$b_z a_y = (ba)_z .$$

We have to see that this definition makes sense. First, Corollary 4.3 (iv) implies that ba is normalized on the open neighbourhood $Z = \tau_{U,b}(W \cap s(b_U))$ of z . We have to show that this definition is independent on the choice of a , b , W and U . First, observe that it is sufficient to show that $(pbfa)_z = (ba)_z$ for any $f, p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_y = 1$ and $p_z = 1$. To this end, choose an open neighbourhood $W' \subset W$ such that f equals 1 on W' and an open neighbourhood $U' \subset U$ of z such that p equals 1 on U' . Put $X = W' \cap \tau_{U,b}^{-1}(U')$, and choose a function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ with $\eta_y = 1$. In particular we have $\eta f = \eta$ and $(\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})p = \eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1}$. Moreover, Corollary 4.3 (iii) implies that $(\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})b = b\eta$ because b is normalized on U and $\text{supp}(\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1}) \subset U' \subset U$. Now

$$(\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})pbfa = (\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})bfa = b\eta fa = b\eta a = (\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})ba$$

and $(\eta \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})_z = 1$, so $(pbfa)_z = (ba)_z$.

For any $y \in M$ there exists the unit arrow at y given by

$$1_y = f_y \in \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y ,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M) \subset A$ is any function which equals 1 on a neighbourhood of y . Any arrow a_y with source x and target y has the inverse

$$(a_y)^{-1} = (S(a))_x .$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Then the manifold*

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$$

with the groupoid structure over M defined above is an étale Lie groupoid over M , called the spectral étale groupoid of the Hopf algebroid A . If B is another Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)$ restricts to a morphism of étale Lie groupoids

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha) : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{sp}(B) ,$$

and this gives a functor

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp} : \text{HoAlg}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)) \longrightarrow \text{EtGrpd}(M) .$$

Proof. We have the smooth structure on $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ inherited from the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$, and we just defined the groupoid structure on $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$, as one can easily check. All we need to prove is that the groupoid structure maps are local diffeomorphisms. We already know that the target map is a local diffeomorphism, because it is the restriction of the sheaf projection of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$. Note that the source map is also a local diffeomorphism because on an basic open subset a_W , given by $a \in G_w^S(A)$ normalized on an open subset W of M , we have

$$s|_{a_W} = \tau_{W,a}^{-1} \circ t|_{a_W}$$

and $\tau_{W,a}$ is a diffeomorphism. The same formula also gives

$$\tau_{W,a} = \tau_{a_W} .$$

Since $\text{inv}(a_W) = S(a)_{s(a_W)}$ and $t \circ \text{inv} = s$ it follows that inv is a local diffeomorphism (in fact diffeomorphism). If $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ equals 1 on W we have $\text{uni}(W) = f_W$, and this shows that uni is an open embedding. Finally, if b is another element of $G_w^S(A)$ normalized on an open subset U of M , we have $\text{mlt}(b_U \times_M a_W) = b_U a_W = (ba)_{\tau_{U,b}(W \cap s(b_U))}$, which together with $t \circ \text{mlt} = t \circ pr_1$ implies that mlt is a local diffeomorphism as well.

Note that $\alpha(G_w^S(A)) \subset G_w^S(B)$, which implies that $\alpha_y(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y) \subset \mathcal{G}_{sp}(B)_y$ for any $y \in M$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)$ restricts to a morphism of sheaves on M

$$\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)|_{\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)} : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{sp}(B) .$$

We have to show that this map is in fact a morphism of groupoids. We already know that $t \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha) = t$, while $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)|_M = \text{id}$ because $\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)} = \text{id}$. If $a \in G_w^S(A)$ is normalized on an open subset W of M , then $\alpha(a) \in G_w^S(B)$ is also normalized on W , $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)(a_W) = \alpha(a)_W$ and

$$T_{\alpha(a)}(f) = \epsilon(S(f\alpha(a))) = \epsilon(S(\alpha(fa))) = \epsilon(\alpha(S(fa))) = \epsilon(S(fa)) = T_a(f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. This implies that

$$\tau_{W,a} = \tau_{W,\alpha(a)} ,$$

and hence

$$s \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)|_{a_W} = \tau_{W,\alpha(a)}^{-1} \circ t \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)|_{a_W} = \tau_{W,a}^{-1} \circ t|_{a_W} = s|_{a_W} .$$

Therefore we have $s \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha) = s$. If $b \in G_w^S(A)$ is normalized on an open subset U of M , and if $z \in U$ is such that $s(b_z) = y \in W$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)(b_z a_y) &= \alpha_z(ba)_z = \alpha(ba)_z = (\alpha(b)\alpha(a))_z \\ &= \alpha(b)_z \alpha(a)_y = \alpha_z(b_z) \alpha_y(a_y) \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)(b_z) \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)(a_y) , \end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)$ preserves the multiplication as well. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be an étale Lie groupoid over a Hausdorff manifold M . Then there is a natural isomorphism of étale Lie groupoids over M*

$$\Phi_G : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$$

given for any $g \in G$ by

$$\Phi_G(g) = (f \circ \pi|_U)_{t(g)} ,$$

where U is any bisection of G with $g \in U$ and f is any function in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\pi(U))$ which satisfies $f_{t(g)} = 1$. We have $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$, and the isomorphism Φ_G is precisely the isomorphism of sheaves given by Theorem 2.4.

Proof. Proposition 3.5 implies that $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$, so Theorem 2.4 yields that Φ_G is an isomorphism of sheaves over M , natural in G . So the only thing we need to check is that Φ_G is a morphism of groupoids.

We have $t \circ \Phi_G = t$ because Φ_G is a morphism of sheaves over M . Take $u \in \mathcal{G}_w^S(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$ normalized on an open subset W of M . By Proposition 3.5 we know that $u = \epsilon(u) \circ t|_U$ for a bisection $U \subset G$, and $\epsilon(u)$ equals 1 on W . For any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ we have

$$p \circ \tau_{W,u} = T_u(p) = \epsilon(S(p\epsilon(u) \circ t|_U)) = \epsilon((p \circ \tau_U) \circ t|_{U^{-1}}) = p \circ \tau_U$$

and therefore

$$\tau_{W,u} = \tau_{U \cap t^{-1}(W)} .$$

Put $V = U \cap t^{-1}(W)$. Now we have $\Phi_G(V) = u_W$ and

$$s \circ \Phi_G|_V = \tau_{W,u}^{-1} \circ t \circ \Phi_G|_V = \tau_V^{-1} \circ t|_V = s|_V .$$

This implies $s \circ \Phi_G = s$. Observe also that $\Phi_G|_M = id$ because $\Phi_G(1_x) = f_x$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ with $f_x = 1$.

Finally, we have to see that Φ_G preserves the multiplication. Take any $g, h \in G$ with $s(g) = t(h)$, choose bisections U and V with $g \in U$ and $h \in V$, and take any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(U))$ with $f_{t(g)} = 1$ and any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(V))$ with $p_{t(h)} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_G(g)\Phi_G(h) &= (f \circ t|_U)_{t(g)}(p \circ t|_V)_{t(h)} = ((f \circ t|_U)(p \circ t|_V))_{t(g)} \\ &= (f(p \circ \tau_U^{-1}) \circ t|_{UV})_{t(gh)} = \Phi_G(gh) \end{aligned}$$

because UV is a bisection with $gh \in UV$ and $f(p \circ \tau_U^{-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(t(UV))$ with $f(p \circ \tau_U^{-1})_{t(g)} = f_{t(g)}p_{s(g)} = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Then there is a natural homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$*

$$\Theta_A : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) \longrightarrow A$$

given by

$$\Theta_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \circ t|_{(a_i)_{W_i}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i a_i ,$$

where a_i is an S -invariant grouplike element of A normalized on an open subset W_i of M and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_i)$, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. We have an inclusion $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A))$ given by extension by zero, and the homomorphism Θ_A is the restriction $\Psi_A|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A))}$ of the homomorphism of coalgebras Ψ_A given by Theorem 2.5.

Proof. Denote by $inc : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$ the open embedding over M . The map $inc_+ : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A))$ is a homomorphism of coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and given by extension by zero, and hence

$$\Theta_A = \Psi_A \circ inc_+ = \Psi_A^{G_w^S(A)}$$

is a homomorphism of coalgebras as well.

We have to show that Θ_A is a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. First note that a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$, for an open subset W of M , can be written as $f \circ t|_{\eta_W} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A))$ for any $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ which equals 1 on W , and hence $\Theta_A(f) = f\eta = f$. This shows that $\Theta_A|_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)} = id$. Next, if $a \in G_w^S(A)$ is normalized on an open subset W of M and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_A(S(f \circ t|_{a_W})) &= \Theta_A(f \circ \tau_{a_W} \circ t|_{(a_W)^{-1}}) = \Theta_A(f \circ \tau_{a_W} \circ t|_{S(a)_{s(a_W)}}) \\ &= (f \circ \tau_{a_W})S(a) = S(a(f \circ \tau_{W,a})) \\ &= S(fa) = S(\Theta_A(f \circ t|_{a_W})), \end{aligned}$$

so Θ_A commutes with S . If b is another element of $G_w^S(A)$ normalized on an open subset U of M , then we have for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W)$ and any $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_A((p \circ t|_{b_U})(f \circ t|_{a_W})) &= \Theta_A(p(f \circ \tau_{b_U}^{-1}) \circ t|_{b_U a_W}) \\ &= \Theta_A(p(f \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1}) \circ t|_{(ba)_{W \cap s(b_U)}}) \\ &= p(f \circ \tau_{U,b}^{-1})ba = b((p \circ \tau_{U,b})f)a = (pb)(fa) \\ &= \Theta_A(p \circ t|_{b_U})\Theta_A(f \circ t|_{a_W}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Θ_A is a homomorphism of Hopf algebras.

To see that Θ_A is natural in A , take another Hopf algebroid B over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ and let $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of Hopf algebroids over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) & \xrightarrow{inc_+} & \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_A} & A \\ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)_+ \downarrow & & \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)_+ \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(B)) & \xrightarrow{inc_+} & \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(B)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_B} & B \end{array}$$

commutes because Ψ is a natural transformation and $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\alpha)$. Therefore we have $\alpha \circ \Theta_A = \Theta_B \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\alpha)_+$. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *For any Hausdorff manifold M , the functor*

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty : \text{EtGrpd}(M) \rightarrow \text{HoAlgd}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M))$$

is fully faithful and left adjoint to the functor $\mathcal{G}_{sp}$.

Proof. We will show that Φ of Theorem 4.5 is the unit, while Θ of Theorem 4.6 is the counit of this adjunction. Recall from Theorem 2.6 that $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)} \circ (\Phi_G)_+ = id$ for any éale Lie groupoid G over M , and that $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_A) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)} = id$ for any Hopf algebroid A over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))$ by Theorem 4.5, we have $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G))) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)))$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)} = \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)}$, so

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)} \circ (\Phi_G)_+ = \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)} \circ (\Phi_G)_+ = id.$$

Next, denote by $inc : \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)$ the inclusion. Since $\Theta_A = \Psi_A \circ inc_+$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Theta_A) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_A) \circ \mathcal{E}_{sp}(inc_+).$$

By definition, $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\Theta_A)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Theta_A)$ to $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)))$. However, Theorem 4.5 implies that $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A))) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)))$, and therefore

$$inc \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\Theta_A) = \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_A) \circ \mathcal{E}_{sp}(inc_+).$$

This, together with the naturality of Φ , now gives

$$\begin{aligned} inc \circ \mathcal{G}_{sp}(\Theta_A) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)} &= \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_A) \circ \mathcal{E}_{sp}(inc_+) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{sp}(\Psi_A) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{sp}(A)} \circ inc = inc, \end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(\Theta_A) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)} = id$. This proves that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is left adjoint to $\mathcal{E}_{sp}$. Since the unit Φ of the adjunction is a natural isomorphism by Theorem 4.5, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is fully faithful. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold, and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. Then we have:*

- (i) Θ_A is an epimorphism if and only if S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A normally generate A .
- (ii) Θ_A is a monomorphism if and only if S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A are normally linearly independent.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.8 with $\Lambda = G_w^S(A)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. If for any $y \in M$ the $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_y$ -module A_y is flat and if any two arrows of A with target y are $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_y$ -linearly independent in A_y , then $\Theta_A : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) \rightarrow A$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8, Proposition 1.1 with $L = \mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)_y$, and Proposition 2.7 with $\Lambda = G_w^S(A)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.10. *Let M be a Hausdorff manifold and let A be a Hopf algebroid over $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Hopf algebroid A is isomorphic to the groupoid Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of an étale Lie groupoid G over M .*
- (ii) *The homomorphism of Hopf algebroids $\Theta_A : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)) \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism.*
- (iii) *The S -invariant weakly grouplike elements of A normally generate A and are normally linearly independent.*
- (iv) *The $(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty)_y$ -module A_y is freely generated by the arrows of A with target y , for any $y \in M$.*

Moreover, if the Hopf algebroid A is isomorphic to the groupoid Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ of an étale Lie groupoid G over M , then the étale Lie groupoid G is isomorphic to the spectral étale groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ of A .

Proof. The equivalence between (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows directly from Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 2.7 with $\Lambda = G_w^S(A)$. The condition (ii) clearly implies (i), while (i) implies (ii) by Theorem 4.7. The isomorphism between G and $\mathcal{G}_{sp}(A)$ is also a consequence of Theorem 4.7. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*. Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999).
- [2] A. Connes, The von Neumann algebra of a foliation. *Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics*, Lecture Notes in Phys. 80, Springer, New York (1978) 145–151.
- [3] A. Connes, A survey of foliations and operator algebras. Operator algebras and applications, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 38 Part I (1982) 521–628.
- [4] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego (1994).
- [5] M. Crainic and I. Moerdijk, A homology theory for étale groupoids. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 521 (2000) 25–46.
- [6] W. T. van Est, Rapport sur les S -atlas. *Astérisque* 116 (1984) 235–292.
- [7] A. Haefliger, Structures feuilletées et cohomologie à valeur dans un faisceau de groupoïdes. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 32 (1958) 248–329.
- [8] A. Haefliger, Groupoids and foliations. Groupoids in analysis, geometry, and physics, *Contemp. Math.* 282 (2001) 83–100.
- [9] J.-H. Lu, Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids. *International J. Math.* 7 (1996) 47–70.

- [10] G. Maltziniotis, Groupoïdes quantiques de base non commutative. *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000) 3441–3501.
- [11] J. W. Milnor and J. C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math.* 81 (1965) 211–264.
- [12] J. Mrčun, Functoriality of the bimodule associated to a Hilsum-Skandalis map. *K-Theory* 18 (1999) 235–253.
- [13] J. Mrčun, The Hopf algebroids of functions on étale groupoids and their principal Morita equivalence. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 160 (2001) 249–262.
- [14] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*. Lecture Notes in Math. 793, Springer, New York (1980).
- [15] M. Takeuchi, Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \overline{A}$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 29 (1977) 459–492.
- [16] P. Xu, Quantum groupoids and deformation quantization. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 326 (1998) 289–294.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA, *E-mail*: janez.mrcun@fmf.uni-lj.si